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Allergic rhinitis is one of the most common diseases. However, its true prevalence in many places is unknown. Studies have shown that up to $10 \%$ of children and $20 \%-30 \%$ of adolescents have this problem. ${ }^{1,2,3,4}$ According to a World Health Organization (WHO) allergy survey in Thailand, the prevalence of allergic rhinitis is $20 \%{ }^{5}$ More than $72 \%$ of cases comprise perennial allergic rhinitis, while only $28 \%$ comprise seasonal allergic rhinitis. ${ }^{6}$

Generally, the definition of allergic rhinitis must consist of three components: First, inflammation of the mucous membranes, which are characterized by a period of nasal discharge, sneezing, and congestion, that persists for an average of at least 0.5-2 hours per day. Second, the individual's nasal reactions to certain stimuli differ fundamentally from that of others, with a tendency to be genetically predisposed. The third is associated with positive skin tests to specific aeroallergens present in the envi-


#### Abstract

SUMMARY The aim of this study was to determine the aeroallergen sensitivity of allergic rhinitis patients. A total of 100 cases (female: 59, male: 41, aged between 10-59 years, mean age 27.9 years) who were diagnosed with allergic rhinitis by history and clinical presentation, underwent a prick skin test with 30 aeroallergens, and the important sensitizing allergens were assessed. Skin test reactivity showing $\geq 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wheal with erythema as the positive skin test, was recorded. The results of patients with positive skin tests follow. TREES: acacia $19 \%$, mango $16 \%$, coconut $12 \%$. GRASSES: bermuda $17 \%$, johnson $21 \%$, timothy $16 \%$, bahia $16 \%$ orchard $18 \%$. WEEDS: pigweed $16 \%$, kochia $14 \%$. MOLDS: alternaria $11 \%$, cladosporium $11 \%$, aspergillus $12 \%$, penicillium $16 \%$, helminthosporium $16 \%$, botrytis $15 \%$, rhodotorula $20 \%$, fusarium $26 \%$, curvularia $26 \%$, smut mix $11 \%$, rust $9 \%$. EPIDERMALS: cat $29 \%$, dog $28 \%$, feathers $37 \%$. INDOOR ALLERGENS: house dust 72\%, D. pteronyssinus 76\%, D. farinae 79\%, American cockroach 60\%, German cockroach 41\%, kapok 30\%. Eighty-five percent of patients sensitive to house dust mites were positive to both $D$. pteronyssinus and D. farinae, indicating substantial cross-reactivity. The study shows that the house dust mite and the cockroach are important aeroallergen sensitizers among the Thai population, since more than half the patients were skin-test positive to the house dust mite and the cockroach.


ronment during symptomatic periods. Moreover, for a better understanding of the pathogenesis of allergic rhinitis, the following four major factors should be known: 1) aeroallergens or antigens; 2) immunoglobulin $E$ and its regulation; 3) mediator cells and mediator release; 4) mediators and their effects. The aim of the pre-
sent study was to determine the aeroallergen sensitivity of allergic rhinitis patients who attended the Allergy Clinic of the Otolarygology Department of the Pramongkutklao Hospital in Bangkok, Thailand.

[^0]
## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Subjects

This study was conducted in the Allergy Clinic of the Otolaryngology Department, Pramongkutklao Hospital, Bangkok. Inclusion criteria: 1) patient with a history of, and clinical presentation of, allergic rhinitis, diagnosed by an otolaryngologist; 2) positive prick skin test to common inhalant allergens ( $\geq 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wheal with erythema). Exclusion criteria: 1) patient with a severe underlying disease; 2) an immuno-compromised patient; 3) prick skin test less than 3 mm wheal.

## Procedures

All subjects were instructed to cease intake of antihistamine for 48 hours prior to prick skin test. Allergenic extracts were selected according to aeroallergens in Thailand. ${ }^{7,8,9,10}$ The allergenic extracts were 1:20 weight/volume for trees (coconut), grasses (bermuda, johnson, timothy, bahia, orchard), weeds (kochia), molds (alternaria, cladosporium, aspergillus, penicillium, helminthosporium, fusarium, smut mix), epidermals (dog, feathers), indoor allergens (house dust, American cockroach, German cockroach, kapok), 1:40 weight/ volume for trees (acacia, mango), weeds (pigweed), molds (botrytis, rhodotorula, curvularia); 1:50 weight/volume for rust; 10,000 $\mathrm{AU} / \mathrm{ml}$ for epidermals (cat), indoor allergens (D. farinae, D. pteronyssinus), by Greer Laboratories, Inc., USA. Histamine phosphate 1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ was used as positive control, and glycerine saline as negative control. The tests should be read in 20 minutes. ${ }^{21}$

## Statistical methods

Statistical analysis was by descriptive analysis and all values are expressed as percentages.

## RESULTS

One hundred allergic rhinitis patients comprised 59 females and 41 males. Ages ranged between $10-59$ years, with the mean age being 27.9 years. Associated diseases were sinusitis $21 \%$, nasal
polyp $7 \%$, asthma $2 \%$. The allergen tested is followed by the percentage of patients with positive skin tests. TREES: acacia $19 \%$, mango $16 \%$, coconut $12 \%$; GRASSES: bermuda $17 \%$, johnson $21 \%$, timothy $16 \%$, bahia $16 \%$, orchard $18 \%$; WEEDS: pigweed $16 \%$, kochia $14 \%$; MOLDS: alternaria $11 \%$, cladosporium $11 \%$, aspergillus $12 \%$, penicillium $16 \%$, helminthosporium $16 \%$, botrytis $15 \%$, rhodotorula $20 \%$, fusarium $26 \%$, curvularia $26 \%$, smut mix

Table 1. Frequency of positive reactions to allergens ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ patients)

| Allergens |  | Patient (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trees | acacia | 19 |
|  | mango | 16 |
|  | coconut | 12 |
| Grasses | bermuda | 17 |
|  | johnson | 21 |
|  | timothy | 16 |
|  | bahia | 16 |
|  | orchard | 18 |
| Weeds | pigweed |  |
|  | kochia | 16 |
|  |  | 14 |
| Molds | alternaria | 11 |
|  | cladosporium | 11 |
|  | aspergilus | 12 |
|  | penicillium | 16 |
|  | helminthosporium | 16 |
|  | botrytis | 15 |
|  | rhodotorula | 20 |
|  | fusarium | 26 |
|  | curvularia | 26 |
|  | smut mix | 11 |
|  | rust | 9 |
| Epidermals | cat | 29 |
|  | dog | 28 |
|  | feathers | 37 |
| Indoor allergens | house dust | 72 |
|  | D. pteronyssinus | 76 |
|  | D. farnae | 79 |
|  | Cockroach, American | 60 |
|  | Cockroach, German | 41 |
|  | kapok | 30 |

$11 \%$, rust $9 \%$; EPIDERMALS: cat $29 \%$, dog $28 \%$, feathers $37 \%$; INDOOR ALLERGENS: house dust $72 \%$, D. pteronyssinus $76 \%$, D. farinae $79 \%$, American cockroach $60 \%$, German cockroach $41 \%$, kapok $30 \%$, as presented in Table 1.

## DISCUSSION

The skin prick test is recommended as the method of choice by the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology, because of its safety and reliability, ${ }^{12,13}$ and it is also recommended in the United States as the most convenient and inexpensive screening method for the diagnosis of $\operatorname{lgE}$ mediated allergic reaction, ${ }^{14}$ and therefore we applied this test in screening our patients for this study. The most important aeroallergens in this study group are the house dust mite, house dust, and the cockroach, as shown by the incidence of $D$. farinae $79 \%, D$. pteronyssinus $76 \%$, house dust $72 \%$, American cockroach $60 \%$, and German cockroach $41 \%$. These were the indoor aeroallergens. Other groups of aeroallergens were: epidermals $28-37 \%$, molds 9 $26 \%$, grasses $16-21 \%$, trees 12 $19 \%$, and weeds $14-16 \%$.

Thirteen patients out of 84 mite-allergic patients were sensitized to either $D$. farinae or $D$. pteronyssinus, but not to both. Eighty-five percent of mite-sensi-
tive patients were skin test positive to both species of Dermatophagoides, indicating substantial crossreactivity. Associated diseases that were found in this group were sinusitis $21 \%$, nasal polyps $7 \%$, and asthma $2 \%$. The most common associated disease in this group was sinusitis, followed by nasal polyp and asthma. This study shows that the house dust mite and the cockroach are the most important aeroallergen sensitizers in Thai allergic rhinitis patients, since more than half the patients were skin test positive to the house dust mite and the cockroach.
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