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Abstract

Background: Although allergic rhinitis (AR) has not been acknowledged as a strong risk factor for obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), several pathophysiological linkages between these two conditions have frequently been reported. However, epidemiological data relating to the prevalence of OSA in patients with AR remain scarce.

Objective: To investigate the prevalence of patients at high risk for OSA among patients with AR, and to determine the relationship between OSA and severity of AR.

Methods: Patients aged ≥ 18 years with a diagnosis of AR and positive skin prick test were recruited from the allergy clinic, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Thailand between October 2014 to November 2015. During routine follow-up, participants were asked to complete the STOP-Bang questionnaire and visual analog scale regarding AR symptoms, including their duration and severity. Patients with STOP-Bang score of ≥ 3 were considered as high risk for having OSA.

Results: Of the 120 AR patients (45 males, 75 females) included, there were 28 patients (19 males, 9 females) who had a STOP-Bang score of ≥ 3 (23.3%), especially for those who had longer duration of AR symptoms (low-risk/high-risk: 60/120 months, \( p = 0.01 \)). However, no significant relationship was observed between ARIA classification and severity of nasal symptoms of AR for being high risk of OSA.

Conclusion: The prevalence of high risk of having OSA in patients with AR was two times higher than that in general Thai population. Early screening and further management for these patients may improve treatment outcomes and quality of life.
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Introduction

Allergic rhinitis (AR) is a highly prevalent immunological disease that often causes nasal obstruction that associates with poor sleep quality and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA).1-7 OSA is defined as an abnormal condition of upper airway collapse during sleep. If left untreated, especially in severe cases, OSA can lead to several adverse health consequences, including cardiovascular diseases and even death.7 Although AR has not been acknowledged as a strong risk factor for OSA, pathophysiological linkages (primarily relating to nasal obstruction) between these two common conditions have been reported.5,9-16 These linkages are often explained by mechanisms that include (1) an increase in upper airway collapsibility due to greater negative intraluminal pressure according to the theory of Starling resister model, which considers an oropharyngeal airway as a hollow collapsible tube lying between upstream and downstream fixed structures; (2) compensatory unstable oral breathing that leads to higher airway resistance and more narrowing of the pharyngeal lumen; (3) reduction in spontaneous ventilation due to the deactivation of nasal-ventilator reflex that is caused by bypassing of the nasal airway; and, (4) reduction...
in nitric oxide that results in perfusion ventilation mismatch. However, epidemiological data relating to the coexistence of and relationship between OSA and AR are still unclear.

Among OSA patients, the prevalence of AR is a high 35.2% according to the results of a meta-analysis conducted by Cao, et al. Our group previously reported a prevalence that was even higher among patients with symptoms of chronic rhinitis and suspected OSA. Other studies reported a prevalence of OSA of at least 10%, and that it is more common in males than in females in general population. However, in patients with AR, the prevalence of OSA is unclear, although one study reported a prevalence rate of approximately 36%. This scarcity of data may be due to the limitations of OSA diagnosis in general practice. Despite the fact that polysomnography (PSG), which is a comprehensive technician-attended sleep test, is considered a gold standard for the diagnosis of OSA, its disadvantages, including high cost, long waiting list, and laborious procedural features, often limits access to PSG for many patients. It is, thus, more practical to reserve PSG as a diagnostic method for those who are at high risk for being OSA. Therefore, it is usually screened by a much more simple questionnaire such as STOP-Bang. The aims of this study were to investigate the prevalence of patients at high risk for OSA among patients with AR, and to determine the relationship between OSA and the severity of AR.

Methods
This cross-sectional study was conducted during October 2014 to November 2015 at the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University after receiving approval from the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB) (COA no. Si506/2014). All included subjects provided written informed consent prior to participation in the study. This study complied with all of the principles set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and all of its subsequent amendments.

Subjects
Patients satisfying all of the following criteria were included: age greater than or equal to 18 years, clinical diagnosis of AR based on the presence of symptoms that included sneezing, runny nose, itching, and/or nasal obstruction, and positive skin prick tests (the largest diameter of the reactive wheal was 3 millimeters or much larger than negative control). Patients unable or unwilling to answer the questionnaires were excluded. All participants were asked to answer all of the following elicitions: STOP-Bang questionnaire, questions relating to the severity and duration of AR symptoms (any of those previously mentioned) according to the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guideline, and visual analog scale (VAS; 0-10) of nasal symptom severity.

STOP-Bang questionnaire
The STOP-Bang questionnaire is an 8-item yes/no questionnaire to determine risk of OSA that was developed by Chung, et al. The questionnaire contains subjective and objective questions, including those relating to snoring, tiredness, observed apnea, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), age, neck circumference (NC), and gender. If patients answer yes to three or more items, they are considered to be at high-risk for having OSA. In this study, we used our Thai version of the STOP-Bang questionnaire, which was translated with permission. The Thai version showed sensitivity of 87.3%, specificity of 48.1%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 82.2%, and negative predictive value (NPV) of 52.2% for the diagnosis of OSA compared to PSG in Thai patients.

Questionnaires relating to AR symptoms
According to the ARIA guideline, patients with AR can be classified by their symptoms into 4 groups: (1) Mild intermittent group is defined as those who have nasal symptoms less than 4 days per week OR symptoms that persist for less than 4 weeks, but the symptoms do not adversely affect the quality of life; (2) Moderate to severe intermittent group is defined as those who have nasal symptoms less than 4 days per week OR symptoms that persist for less than 4 weeks, and the symptoms do adversely affect quality of life; (3) Milder persistent is defined as those who have nasal symptoms more than 4 days per week AND that persist for more than 4 weeks, but the symptoms do not adversely affect quality of life; and, (4) Moderate to severe persistent is defined as those who have nasal symptoms more than 4 days per week AND that persist for more than 4 weeks, and they do adversely affect quality of life.

The VAS questionnaire was used to evaluate the severity of each relevant nasal symptom before initial treatment (i.e., itching, sneezing, nasal obstruction, and runny nose). Scoring for each symptom ranged from 0 to 10, with a higher score representing greater severity. According to Bousquet, et al., patients with AR could be classified by VAS into two groups: (1) mild AR for those who have a VAS score of less than 5; and, (2) moderate to severe AR for those who have a VAS score of 5 or more.

Statistical analysis
Continuous data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and categorial data are shown as frequency and percentage. Independent t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare continuous data between groups depending on the pattern of data distribution (parametric vs. non-parametric). Chi-square test for trend was used to analyze the relationship between the severity of disease and the risk of OSA. Statistical significance was set at a p-value less than 0.05. All data analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics v.22 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
A total of 120 patients (45 males and 75 females) with an age range of 18 to 65 years (mean: 34.2) and a mean BMI of 23.4 kg/m² (range: 16.4-40.2) were recruited. The mean neck circumference (NC) was 34.8 cm (range: 28-46). Only 4 patients had a BMI over 35 kg/m². The demographic data of patients comparing low risk and high risk group for OSA were shown in Table 1. The distribution of STOP-Bang scores among all participants is shown in Figure 1. There were 28 patients, 19 males and 9 females, who had STOP-Bang scores (number of...
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assessed only by VAS (0-10), was not significantly different between the low-risk and high-risk OSA groups (Table 3) \((p > 0.05)\). This was corresponded with the results between the two groups proposed by Bousquet, et al.\(^{25}\) (mild AR vs. moderate to severe AR) and the low-risk and high-risk OSA groups \((p > 0.05)\).

The duration of AR symptoms before treatment in the low risk for OSA group ranged from 1 to 384 months (median: 60), with an interquartile range of 24 and 120 months. The duration of symptoms in the high-risk group ranged from 12 to 360 months (median: 120), with an interquartile range of 60 and 138 months. The duration between groups was found to be significantly shorter in the low-risk group than in the high-risk group \((p = 0.01)\).

### Table 1. Demographic data of patients with low risk and high risk for OSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic data</th>
<th>Low risk of OSA (N = 92)</th>
<th>High risk of OSA (N = 28)</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male/Female)</td>
<td>26/66</td>
<td>19/9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>32.6 ± 11.0</td>
<td>39.4 ± 11.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>22.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>27.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference (cm)</td>
<td>33.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>38.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of rhinitis (months)</td>
<td>60 (24, 120)</td>
<td>120 (60, 138)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A \(p\)-value of < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. The parametric continuous data were presented in mean ± standard deviation. The non-parametric data (duration of rhinitis) were presented in median and interquartile range (percentile 25\(^{th}\), percentile 75\(^{th}\)).

### Table 2. Severity of allergic rhinitis and OSA risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARIA Classification</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Low risk of OSA N (%)</th>
<th>High risk of OSA N (%)</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Mild intermittent</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20 (80.0)</td>
<td>5 (20.0)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mild persistent</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12 (80.0)</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Moderate to severe intermittent</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13 (65.0)</td>
<td>7 (35.0)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Moderate to severe persistent</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>47 (78.3)</td>
<td>13 (21.7)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A \(p\)-value of < 0.05 indicates statistical significance; Pearson’s chi-square test: overall \(p = 0.60\)

**Abbreviations:** OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; ARIA, Allergic Rhinitis and Its Impact on Asthma

### Table 3. Severity of nasal symptoms as assessed by visual analog scale compared between low and high risk of OSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nasal symptoms</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Low risk of OSA</th>
<th>High risk of OSA</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Itching</td>
<td>4.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sneezing</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal obstruction</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runny nose</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A \(p\)-value of < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. The continuous data were presented in mean ± standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; VAS, visual analog scale
Discussion

AR and OSA are common upper airway disorders that significantly affect patients worldwide, and that may lead to serious health consequences. Early detection and treatment of these conditions is the best way to improve patient quality of life and to prevent subsequent adverse side effects. Previous study showed that AR and OSA have some linkages, particularly relative to effects from nasal obstruction, and approximately one-third of OSA patients also had AR. Young, et al. reported that people with nasal obstruction due to allergy were 1.8 times more likely to have moderate to severe OSA. Stuck, et al. reported that seasonal AR could increase the mean apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) from 0.32 to 0.81 events/hr. However, only a few studies have focused on the prevalence of OSA in AR and data in South-East Asians has not yet been reported. Our findings revealed that 23.3% of AR patients are at high risk for OSA. This rate is approximately twice compared to the known prevalence of high risk for OSA in general Thai population. The results from the present study are lower than those reported by Kalpalk/io/glu, et al. and Bozkurt, et al. who reported that 36% and 32.7% of AR patients (skin prick tests) also had OSA diagnosed by PSG, respectively.

The fact that the prevalence of high risk patients for OSA in AR was lower than the rates reported by previous studies may be explained by the lower BMI, which an important risk factor for OSA, of our patients (mean BMI of only 23.4 kg/m² compared to 25.3 and 29.4 kg/m² in other studies). The majority of patients in this study and previous studies were female, which is the gender that has lower risk for OSA.

Although PSG is accepted as a standard test for OSA diagnosis, its limitations, such as a long waiting list, a need of laborious procedures, and expensive cost, often make it impractical for most patients in a routine allergy clinic. Alternatively, in this study, we used STOP-Bang questionnair, which is a much more rapid, simple, and less expensive diagnostic tool to screen for patients at high risk of being OSA.

According to the ARIA guideline, half of patients at our allergy clinic had moderate to severe nasal symptoms. However, there was no significant difference among the severity of AR classified by ARIA guideline and being high risk for OSA in this study (p = 0.60), and this finding corresponds with that reported by Zheng, et al. There was also no difference between the high and low risk OSA groups relative to the severity of each nasal symptom as assessed by VAS, which an important results reported by Bousquet, et al. Nevertheless, the duration of AR symptoms (sneezing, runny nose, itching, and/or nasal obstruction) was significantly longer in those with high risk of OSA (p = 0.01), and patients with moderate to severe AR tended to be at higher risk for having OSA than patients in the mild AR group. These findings are not surprising, possibly because the nose is known as simply a modulating factor for multilevel pharyngeal obstruction, and not a major site of upper airway collapse in OSA patients.

Limitations

This study has some mentionable limitations. First, we used the STOP-Bang questionnair, not PSG, which is considered the gold standard test for OSA. However, using this questionnair is more practical and feasible than using PSG. Second, our questions regarding the duration of AR symptoms may be not specific to nasal obstruction and to the impact of treatments on patients; thus, some related biases may exist. Finally, we did not collect the data regarding the family history of atopy and current treatment as part of this study. However, we tried to reduce the effect of these confounding factors by assessing the severity of nasal symptoms only before initial treatment. The strength of this study is that this is the first report of the prevalence of OSA in South-East Asian patients with AR. These findings will increase awareness of the importance of OSA screening with questionnaires among patients in the allergy clinic setting. Early detection of high-risk OSA in AR may lead to further investigations and early treatment to prevent serious consequences of these conditions. Long-term cohort study is warranted to further elucidate the relationship between AR and OSA.

Conclusion

The prevalence of patients at high risk for OSA among patients with AR was 23.3%, which is double the rate in general Thai population; however, no significant differences were observed between various severities of AR and being at low risk or high risk for OSA. Early detection and management of AR patients at high risk for OSA will reduce the likelihood of adverse consequences arising from either condition.
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