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Abstract

**Background:** Local allergic rhinitis (LAR) is a localized nasal allergic response in the absence of systemic atopy that is characterized by local production of specific immunoglobulin E (sIgE), and a positive response to NAPT (nasal allergen provocation test).

**Objective:** The objective of this study is to investigate the prevalence of LAR in adults with chronic rhinitis (CR) and negative skin prick test to *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (Dp), and to assess the clinical characteristics of LAR, comparing to non-allergic rhinitis (NAR).

**Methods:** Patients with history of CR with negative skin prick test (SPT) to Dp were recruited during January 2015-April 2016. Demographic and clinical data were obtained, and then a NAPT with Dp was performed. The immediate response to NAPT-Dp was assessed using clinical symptom score, visual analogue scale, peak nasal inspiratory flow, and acoustic rhinometry. Nasal lavage was evaluated for nasal sIgE and tryptase level.

**Results:** Sixty-two CR patients were recruited. NAPT-Dp was positive in 15/62 (24.2%) of CR patients. Most LAR patients were female (73.3%), and the mean age of all patients was 36.1 ± 10.4 years. Our evaluation of patient characteristics revealed sneezing to be the only evaluated factor to be statistically significantly different between groups [odds ratio (OR): 7.75, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.91-31.48; \( p = 0.002 \)].

**Conclusions:** The prevalence of LAR to Dp in Thai adults with CR and negative skin prick test was 24.2%. Most LAR patients had moderate-severe persistent severity. The clinical characteristics of LAR, sneezing was shown to be a significantly dominant symptom in LAR than in NAR.
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Introduction

Rhinitis is defined as inflammation of the nasal epithelium, and is diagnosed in the simultaneous presence of at least two of the following nasal symptoms: rhinorrhea, nasal blockage, nasal itching, and sneezing.1,2 Rhinitis adversely impacts patient physical, mental, and social quality of life,3 and it is often associated with asthma, rhinosinusitis, and conjunctivitis. The high prevalence of and the comorbidities associated with rhinitis make it a healthcare burden in many societies.4 Chronic rhinitis is generally classified as allergic rhinitis (AR) or nonallergic rhinitis (NAR) based on clinical history and skin prick test (SPT) or serum specific IgE (sIgE).5 In Thailand, the prevalence of AR and NAR in CR was reported to be 20%-40% and 60%-80%, respectively.6,7 The purpose of this study is to evaluate the prevalence and clinical characteristics of LAR in Thai adults with CR and negative SPT to Dp, comparing to NAR.
In 1975, Huggins and Brostoff studied patients diagnosed with clinical AR who had negative SPT, but who had positive nasal allergen provocation test (NAPT) and nasal sIgE after being exposed to aeroallergen. Later, Rondon, et al. introduced the concept of localized mucosal allergic disease in the absence of systemic atopy, which is now referred to as local allergic rhinitis (LAR) or entopy. LAR is characterized by local production of sIgE antibodies, nasal Th2 response during natural exposure to aeroallergens, and a positive response to NAPT. Levels of sIgE, tryptase, and eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) were found to be increased in the secretions of LAR patients.

Even though AR and LAR are considered different entities, they share some similarities, especially relative to management. Medications, such as oral antihistamines and intranasal steroids, were reported to have benefit in LAR. Moreover, a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled phase 2 clinical trial to investigate the efficacy and safety of subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) with *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (Dp) in patients with LAR reported a favorable outcome.

Previous studies from the European Union and the United States reported prevalence rates of LAR in NAR that ranged from 47% to 62.5%. The prevalence of LAR was reported to be about 7-19% in China, and 9-10% in Korea. In Thailand, the prevalence of LAR in pediatric patients is 3.7%. Until recently, there was no study about LAR in adult patients in Thailand.

The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of LAR to Dp in adults with CR and negative skin prick test, and to assess the clinical characteristics and severity of LAR in Thailand.

**Materials and methods**

**Study population**

This cross-sectional study included patients aged 18-70 years with history of chronic rhinitis longer than 1 year that were attending the outpatient allergy clinic of the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand during the January 2015 to April 2016 study period. The protocol for this study was approved by the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB) [COA no. 403/2554(EC3)], and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. This study complied with the principles set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and all of its subsequent amendments.

Patients that satisfied both of the following inclusion criteria were included: 1) diagnosed with CR by negative SPT; and, 2) discontinued use of the following medications for the following specified period of time prior to the start of the study: systemic corticosteroid (4 weeks), intranasal corticosteroid (2 weeks), oral antihistamine (1 week), and topical nasal decongestant (1 day prior). Patients having one or more of the following were excluded: 1) underlying diseases, including chronic rhinosinusitis with or without nasal polyp, severe deviated nasal septum, immunological diseases, chronic renal disease, hepatobiliary disease, cardiovascular disease, or cancer; 2) uncontrolled asthma by predicted peak expiratory flow rate (PEF) < 70%; 3) recent history of respiratory tract infection within the previous weeks; 4) pregnancy or lactation; and/or, 5) nasal hyperreactivity.

Patient baseline characteristics were recorded, including age, gender, disease duration, location of residence (urban or rural), family history of atopic diseases, and precipitating factors. The subjective evaluations were total symptom score (TSS) and visual analog scale (VAS), and the objective evaluations were acoustic rhinometry (ARM) and peak nasal inspiratory flow (PNIF). Patients underwent nasal allergen provocation test (NAPT) for Dp, with nasal lavage performed 30 minutes prior to the test. For the nasal hypersensitivity test, patients were challenged with diluent consisting of phosphate buffered saline with 0.4% phenol. Patients found to be positive were excluded. NAPT was performed using an initial Dp concentration of 200 AU, with increases to 500 AU and 1000 AU until the test was positive. Fifteen minutes after each nasal provocation test, patients were evaluated for TSS, VAS, ARM, and PNIF. At 60 minutes after the test, nasal lavage was performed, patient TSS, VAS, ARM, and PNIF were recorded, and nasal lavage was measured for sIgE and tryptase.

**Definitions**

Rhinitis symptoms were classified according to the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines. Rhinitis was classified as intermittent (symptoms present < 4 days/week or for < 4 consecutive weeks) or persistent (symptoms present > 4 days/week and for > 4 consecutive weeks). Regarding rhinitis severity, the number of impaired items, including sleep, daily activities/sport/leisure, work/school performance, and troublesome symptoms, was used to categorize rhinitis as mild (no affected items) or moderate-to-severe (at least one affected item).

**Skin prick test (SPT)**

SPT was performed using a panel of the most prevalent local aeroallergens, including house dust mites (*Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*), American and German cockroaches, cat and dog dander, grass pollens (Bermuda and Johnson), acacia, careless weeds, and molds (Alternaria spp., Cladosporium spp., Penicillium spp., Aspergillus spp., and Fusarium spp.). Commercial allergens were purchased from ALK Abello (Port Washington, NY, USA). Histamine (10 mg/ml) and saline were used as positive and negative control, respectively. A positive SPT was defined as a wheal diameter of ≥ 3 mm compared to the negative control, to ≥ 1 aeroallergen. Study patients were instructed to discontinue antihistamine for at least 7 days before SPT.

**Nasal allergen provocation test (NAPT)**

Standard anterior rhinoscopy was performed to exclude patients with pre-existing condition within the nose. A challenge test to phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was performed to exclude any non-specific nasal hyper-reactivity. If patients had no reaction to PBS, NAPT with Dp (NAPT-Dp) was performed using Dp extract solutions of 200, 600, and 2000 AU/ml at 15-minute intervals. Two puffs (0.125 ml each) of solution were introduced into each nasal passage using a metered nasal spray pump. The immediate response to NAPT-Dp was assessed using clinical symptom score, peak nasal inspiratory flow (PNIF), and acoustic rhinometry (ARM).
The symptom score

The symptom score was based primarily on the following symptoms: nasal obstruction (breathing difficulty (1 point), unilateral nasal obstruction (2 points), bilateral nasal obstruction (3 points)), rhinorrhea (anterior (1 point), posterior (1 point)), itchiness (nose (1 point), ear or palate (1 point)), sneezing (0-2 times (0 points), 3-4 times (1 point), ≥ 5 times (3 points)), and ocular symptoms (1 point). The maximum possible score was 11 points. Severity of nasal symptoms was also assessed using visual analog scale (VAS) (range: 0-10).

Nasal airflow was assessed using a peak nasal inspiratory flow (PNIF) meter (In-Check Inspiratory Flow Meter with face mask; Clement Clarke International, Harlow, UK). The highest PNIF value from three measurements was used for calculation. Nasal patency was assessed by Eccovision Acoustic Rhinometer (Model AR-1003; Hood Laboratories; Pembroke, MA, USA) following the guidelines set forth by the Standardization Committee on Acoustic Rhinometry. The minimum cross-sectional area (MCA) of each nasal cavity was measured separately, and the mean MCA of the two cavities was calculated.

NAPT was considered positive when a nasal obstruction was observed that satisfied one or the other of the following criteria: (1) the ARM showed a reduction of > 25% of the MCA of the nasal cavity, or (2) the nasal airflow decreased > 40% of the baseline value – both regardless of the clinical symptom score. If nasal airflow decreases by > 20% of the baseline value, it must be combined with a symptom score greater than 5.

Nasal lavage and sample processing were performed according to the following procedures. Study patients angled their necks up approximately 30o from horizontal while in a sitting position. Patients were advised not to breathe or swallow, and then 3 mL of normal saline was instilled into each nostril. After 10 seconds, subjects angled their necks down to a negative 30o from horizontal and expelled the mixture of mucus and saline into a bowl, which was stored on ice until the end of the NAPT. Specimens were maintained at 4°C until they were centrifuged at 2,000 rpm (1,069 g) for 7 min at 4°C. The supernatant was stored at 20°C until nasal tryptase and sIgE-Dp were measured. Total tryptase and sIgE-Dp levels were measured by UniCAP Tryptase Immunoassay (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows version 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago IL, USA). Categorical data are presented as number and percentage, and continuous data are given as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for parametric variables and median and interquartile range (IQR) for nonparametric variables. Clinical data were compared between LAR and NAR using chi-square test. Logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors significantly associated with positive NAPT. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Sixty-two CR patients were included. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 42.4 ± 13.0 years, the median disease duration was 5 years (IQR: 2-10), and 72.6% of patients were female.

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics (N = 62)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>42.4 ± 13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45 (72.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17 (27.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>5 (2, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of residence, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>40 (64.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>22 (35.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of atopic diseases, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (43.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitating factors, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>19 (30.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>14 (22.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>12 (19.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical agent</td>
<td>5 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>20 (32.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range

Fifteen patients (24.2%) were diagnosed with LAR by positive NAPT to Dp. TSS, VAS, MCA, and PNIF results were all significantly different between before and after positive NAPT (all p < 0.001) (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Clinical parameters of local allergic rhinitis patients

Abbreviations: VAS, visual analog scale; MCA, minimal cross-sectional area; PNIF, peak inspiratory flow; NAPT, nasal allergen provocation test
Table 2. Clinical characteristics compared between LAR and NAR patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>LAR (n = 15)</th>
<th>NAR (n = 47)</th>
<th>Odds ratio (OR)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (24.2%)</td>
<td>47 (75.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-35</td>
<td>8 (53.3%)</td>
<td>14 (29.8%)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.11-1.22</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 35</td>
<td>7 (46.7%)</td>
<td>33 (70.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 (73.3%)</td>
<td>34 (72.3%)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.28-3.90</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4 (26.7%)</td>
<td>13 (27.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>5 (2,10)</td>
<td>5 (2,10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of atopic diseases, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (33.3%)</td>
<td>22 (46.8%)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.21-2.50</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal symptoms, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal itching</td>
<td>8 (53.3%)</td>
<td>18 (38.3%)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.57-5.95</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sneezing</td>
<td>12 (80.0%)</td>
<td>16 (34.0%)</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>1.91-31.48</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal blockage</td>
<td>11 (73.3%)</td>
<td>35 (74.5%)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.25-3.53</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinorrhea</td>
<td>10 (66.7%)</td>
<td>27 (58.7%)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.44-5.02</td>
<td>0.583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A p-value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance
Abbreviations: LAR, local allergic rhinitis; NAR, non-allergic rhinitis; CI confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range

Although patients in the LAR group tended to be younger, no significant difference was observed for age, gender, disease duration, or family history of atopic diseases between the LAR and NAR groups (Table 2). With regard to our evaluation of nasal symptoms, only sneezing was found to be statistically significantly different between groups (odds ratio (OR): 7.75, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.91-31.48; p = 0.002).

We evaluated nasal lavage from LAR patients at 1 hour after positive NAPT. Using a positive cutoff value of ≥ 0.35 kUA/L for sIgE and > 11.5 ng/ml for tryptase, our analysis revealed no patients positive for nasal sIgE, and 1 patient positive for nasal tryptase.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of LAR to Dp in adults with CR and negative skin prick test, and to assess the clinical characteristics and severity of LAR to Dp in Thailand. This is the first study to examine LAR to Dp in Thai adults. The findings of this study will enhance our knowledge about LAR and our ability to manage patients with this condition, and these enhancements will result in improved patient outcomes.

In this study, the prevalence of LAR to Dp was 24.2%. Compared to other studies, that proportion was higher than the rate found in Thai children (3.7%) and in other Asian countries (China 19.0%, Korean 10.8%), but lower than in Western populations (47-62%). This disparity in prevalence may be explained by differences in environment, genetic factors and the duration of withheld intranasal steroid before NAPT. Other factors that may affect differences in reported prevalence include the diagnostic criteria (NAPT or nasal sIgE) and the number of allergens used in the testing process.

Relative to clinical characteristics, we found LAR patients to be younger than NAR patients, female predominance, and no difference between groups for disease duration or family history of atopic diseases (all p > 0.05). A majority of patients had
The prevalence of LAR to Dp in Thai adults with CR and negative skin prick test was 24.2%. Most LAR patients had moderate-severe persistent severity. The clinical characteristics of LAR compared to NAR were quite similar to previous studies, except for sneezing, which was shown to be a significantly more dominant symptom in LAR than in NAR. Further studies in different allergens, the pathophysiology, molecular alteration, and treatment and follow-up in LAR should be performed in the future to enhance our understanding of this condition in Thai population.
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