

## Unusual delayed reaction after H1N1 vaccine

Alexis Descatha<sup>1</sup>

### Summary

**We reported a case of allergic contact dermatitis three weeks after the H1N1 vaccine, probably involving thimerosal additive. Patients should be aware of this possible unusual delayed reaction. (*Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol* 2010;28:302-3)**

**Key words:** *Dermatitis, Allergic Contact, Vaccine, Influenza, H1N1, Adverse reaction, thimerosal*

### Introduction

Thimerosal is a traditional high-prevalence allergen in the general population, which has been used as an antimicrobial agent in contact lens and vaccines<sup>1</sup>. However, prevalence of such as reactions to thimerosal in the general population is decreasing in many countries because of the exclusion of thimerosal in vaccines<sup>2</sup>. We reported a probable case of allergic contact dermatitis three weeks after the H1N1 vaccine, involving thimerosal additive.

### Report of cases

A 55 year-old woman, who is a medical doctor, noticed an important skin reaction on her left arm (Figure 1). The lesion was characterized by erythema and edema, followed by the appearance of papules and vesicles. The skin reaction was exactly at the same site of injection of the Influenza A H1N1 vaccine (Pandemrix® vaccine, Influenza A H1N1 2009 Monovalent AS03-Adjuvanted Vaccine, GSK), but 22 days after the shot. No history of atopy or documented allergic contact dermatitis was found. Only moderate pruritus occurred, with only one application of topical steroid. She had no other

significant medical condition of any kind and no treatment at this time. The patch test of thimerosal (*thiomersal*, additive of the vaccine) was weakly positive a week after the initial visit ('+', erythema, infiltration and papules). The evolution was favorable with disappearance in three weeks. A complete testing, including most of the component of the vaccine was performed two month later, in order to avoid recurrence and to confirm the association with thiomersal. The patch test only found a positivity for thimerosal (but important this time '+++'), and was involved in the allergic contact dermatitis presented by our colleague.

### Discussion

There is a debate about thimerosal reactions: some studies raised the possibility that most of the positive reactions to it are irrelevant. However, even though reactions to it are decreasing, a consistency about thimerosal sensitivity does exist in contact dermatitis patients<sup>3</sup>. Immediate generalized reaction to thimerosal from an influenza vaccine have been previously reported<sup>4-6</sup>, but this was the first reported case of allergic contact dermatitis three weeks after the H1N1 vaccine, probably caused by thimerosal additive.

Allergic contact dermatitis presented by our colleague comes from delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction<sup>7</sup>, mediated by hapten-specific T cells<sup>8</sup>. Even though T-cell mediated reactions usually starting from 2–8 hours up to 2 days after vaccination<sup>9</sup>, very late reactions have been described for other medications (up to one month<sup>10</sup>). Other differential cause of acute dermatitis has been excluded by anamnesis and patch tests. No sign of immunodeficiency was found. It is however possible that the lesion appeared before our colleague noticed it, but only a few days before.

From the <sup>1</sup>Unité de pathologie professionnelle/ U1018 INSERM/SAMU92, CHU Poincaré, 104 bd Poincaré, 92380 Garches, France

Corresponding author: Alexis Descatha

E-mail: [alexis.descatha@rpc.aphp.fr](mailto:alexis.descatha@rpc.aphp.fr)

Submitted date: 19/9/2010

Accepted dated: 20/10/2010





**Figure 1.** Eczema lesion of left upper arm, three days after the beginning of the rash (25 days after the H1N1 vaccine shot)

### Conclusions

In conclusion, we report an allergic contact dermatitis involving thimerosal additive. Patient should be aware of this possible problem with this unusual delayed reaction.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank: Dr AM Jonathan (allergologist), Dr MN Crepy (dermatologist), Dr

Lillo-Le- Louet (pharmacovigilance center), Mr H Leroux (MD student) and our colleague who accepted the publication of her case.

### References

1. Nielsen NH, Menné T. Allergic contact sensitization in an unselected Danish population. The Glostrup Allergy Study, Denmark. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 1992 ;72:456-60.
2. Thyssen JP, Linneberg A, Menné T, Johansen JD. The epidemiology of contact allergy in the general population--prevalence and main findings. *Contact Dermatitis.* 2007;57:287-99.
3. Slodownik D, Ingber A. Thimerosal--is it really irrelevant? *Contact Dermatitis.* 2005;53:324-6.
4. McMahon AW, Iskander JK, Haber P, Braun MM, Ball R. Inactivated influenza vaccine (IIV) in children <2 years of age: examination of selected adverse events reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) after thimerosal-free or thimerosal-containing vaccine. *Vaccine.* 2008;26:427-9.
5. Lee-Wong M, Resnick D, Chong K. A generalized reaction to thimerosal from an influenza vaccine. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2005;94:90-4.
6. Zheng W, Dreskin SC. Thimerosal in influenza vaccine: an immediate hypersensitivity reaction. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2007;99:574-5.
7. Usatine RP, Riojas M. Diagnosis and management of contact dermatitis. *Am Fam Physician.* 2010;82:249-55.
8. Saint-Mezard P, Rosieres A, Krasteva M, Berard F, Dubois B, Kaiserlian D, et al. Allergic contact dermatitis. *Eur J Dermatol.* 2004;14:284-95.
9. Fritsche PJ, Helbling A, Ballmer-Weber BK. Vaccine hypersensitivity—update and overview. *Swiss Med Wkly.* 2010;140:238-46
10. Rajabian MH, Sodaify M, Aghaei S. Severe facial dermatitis as a late complication of aesthetic rhinoplasty; a case report. *BMC Dermatol.* 2004 31;4:1.

