

# Clinical practice guideline for diagnosis and management of urticaria

Kanokvalai Kulthanan,<sup>1</sup> Papapit Tuchinda,<sup>1</sup> Leena Chularojanamontri,<sup>1</sup>Pattriya Chanyachailert,<sup>1</sup> Wiwat Korkij,<sup>2</sup> Amornsri Chunharas,<sup>3</sup> Siriwan Wananukul<sup>4</sup> Wanida Limpongsanurak,<sup>5</sup> Suwat Benjaponpitak,<sup>6</sup> Wanee Wisuthsarewong,<sup>7</sup> Kobkul Aunhachoke,<sup>8</sup> Vesarat Wessagowit,<sup>9</sup> Pantipa Chatchatee,<sup>10</sup>; Penpun Wattanakrai,<sup>11</sup> Orathai Jirapongsananuruk,<sup>12</sup> Jettanong Klaewsongkram,<sup>13</sup> Nopadon Noppakun,<sup>2</sup> Pakit Vichyanond,<sup>12</sup> Puan Suthipinittharm,<sup>14</sup> Kiat Ruxrungtham,<sup>13</sup> Srisupalak Singalavanija,<sup>5</sup> Jarungchit Ngamphaiboon<sup>10</sup>

## Abstract

Urticaria is a common skin condition that can compromise quality of life and may affect individual performance at work or school. Remission is common in majority of patients with acute spontaneous urticaria (ASU); however, in chronic cases, less than 50% had remission. Angioedema either alone or with urticaria is associated with a much lower remission rate. Proper investigation and treatment is thus required. This guideline, a joint development of the Dermatological Society of Thailand, the Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology Association of Thailand and the Pediatric Dermatological Society of Thailand, is graded and recommended based on published evidence and expert opinion. With simple algorithms, it is aimed to help guiding both adult and pediatric physicians to better managing patients who have urticaria with/without angioedema. Like other recent guideline, urticaria is classified into spontaneous versus inducible types. Patients present with angioedema or angioedema alone, drug association should be excluded, acetyl esterase inhibitors (ACEIs) and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in particular. Routine laboratory investigation is not cost-effective in chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU), unless patients have clinical suggesting autoimmune diseases. Non-sedating H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamine is the first-line treatment for 2-4 weeks; if urticaria was not controlled, increasing the dose up to 4 times is recommended. Sedating first-generation antihistamines have not been proven more advantage than non-sedating antihistamines. The only strong evidence-based alternative regimen for CSU is an anti-IgE: omalizumab; due to very high cost it however might not be accessible in low-middle income countries. Non-pharmacotherapeutic means to minimize hyper-responsive skin are also important and recommended, such as prevention skin from drying, avoidance of hot shower, scrubbing, and excessive sun exposure.

Keywords: urticaria, Thai, guideline, diagnosis, management

#### From:

<sup>1</sup> Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>2</sup> Division of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>3</sup> Division of Pediatric Dermatology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok,

Thailand <sup>4</sup> Division of Pediatric Dermatology, Department of Pediatrics,

Faculty of Medicine Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand <sup>5</sup> Dermatology Unit, Queen Sirikit National Institute of Child Health,

Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>6</sup> Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>7</sup> Division of Pediatric Dermatology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>8</sup> Division of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Phramongkutklao Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>9</sup> Institute of Dermatology, Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>10</sup> Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
<sup>11</sup> Division of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand
<sup>12</sup> Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>13</sup> Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>14</sup> College of Medicine and Public Health, Ubon Ratchathani University, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand

#### Corresponding author:

Papapit Tuchinda Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University 2 Wanglang Road, Bangkoknoi, Bangkok 10700, Thailand E-mail: papapitt@gmail.com



## Introduction

This clinical practice guideline was developed in collaboration with the Dermatological Society of Thailand, the Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology Association of Thailand and the Pediatric Dermatological Society of Thailand. The aim of this endeavor was to develop a guideline that would provide helpful and practical advice to general practitioners and specialty physicians regarding how to effectively manage patients with spontaneous urticaria.

#### Definition

Urticaria, a heterogeneous group of diseases, is characterized by wheals and flares that sometimes concomitantly present with angioedema (edema in the deep dermis and subcutaneous tissue). Urticaria can be caused by several factors, including physical stimuli, immunological response to foods, drugs, and infectious agents, or as a part of inflammatory or malignancy conditions. However, the most common cause is idiopathic in nature.

#### Signs and symptoms

Pruritus is the most predominate symptom. Other characteristic signs include wheals and flares that vary in size, with individual wheals usually resolving within 24 hours without residual hyperpigmentation. In some cases, urticaria can occur concomitantly with angioedema that typically involves deep dermis and subcutaneous fat, such as in the periorbital tissues, lips, tongue, and hands. Angioedema can persist for up to 72 hours and is often accompanied by burning sensation and/or mild pain. Itching is not common in angioedema.

Urticaria with or without angioedema can be a manifestation of anaphylaxis. Other manifestations of anaphylaxis include chest discomfort, hoarseness, wheezing, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. Respiratory difficulty and circulation collapse in anaphylaxis can lead to anaphylactic

Table 1. Criteria for diagnosis of anaphylaxis<sup>1</sup>

shock, which is a serious and life-threatening condition. The criteria for anaphylaxis diagnosis is shown in **Table 1**.<sup>1</sup>

#### Classification

Urticaria is classified into 2 types (Table 2):<sup>2,3</sup>

- **1. Spontaneous urticaria,** which is classified according to its duration into 2 subtypes:
  - 1) Acute urticaria (AU) is characterized by spontaneously occurring wheals for less than 6 weeks
  - 2) Chronic urticaria (CU) is characterized by spontaneously occurring wheals at least 2 days per week for a period of 6 weeks or more
- 2. Inducible urticaria (also known as physical urticaria) occur when triggered by specific physical stimuli

#### Diagnostic approach for urticaria

History taking and physical examination to identify causes and precipitating factors are important for diagnosis. The diagnostic approach for urticaria is shown in **Figure 1**.<sup>4</sup> In addition to diagnosis and severity assessment, laboratory investigations indicated by history and physical examination are sometimes necessary to identify causes (**Table 3**).<sup>2,5</sup>

It should be noted that even in a clinical setting where history review, physical examination, and investigations have been undertaken, the cause(s) of acute and chronic urticaria often remain elusive. Spontaneous wheals in chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU), previously called chronic idiopathic urticaria or CIU,<sup>6</sup> are proposed to be caused by various mechanisms, including:

- 1. Reaction of autoantibodies (IgG) to high-affinity IgE receptors (FceRI) or to IgE on the surface of mast cells or basophils, accounting for 30-50% of CSU patients and may define autoimmune urticaria.<sup>7,8</sup>
- 2. Activation of coagulation pathway occurs in some CSU patients, which results in increased plasma D-dimer levels.<sup>9</sup>

#### Anaphylaxis condition diagnosis is made when any 1 of the following 3 criteria is fulfilled:

- 1. Acute onset of symptoms (within minutes or several hours) with skin and/or mucosal tissue involvement such as generalized hives, pruritus, flushing, swollen lips, tongue, or uvula
  - and at least one of the following:
  - 1.1 Respiratory symptoms, such as rhinitis, hoarseness, dyspnea, wheezing breath sound from bronchospasm, stridor, decreased lung function, such as decreased peak expiratory flow (PEF), or decreased blood oxygen levels
  - 1.2 Reduced blood pressure or multi-organ system failure, such as hypotonia (collapse), syncope, or incontinence
- 2. Two or more of the following symptoms that occur after contact with a suspected allergen (within minutes or several hours)
  - 2.1 Skin and submucosal membrane involvement, such as generalized hives, pruritus, flushing, swollen lips, tongue, or uvula
  - 2.2 Respiratory symptoms, such as rhinitis, hoarseness, dyspnea, wheezing breath sound from bronchospasm, stridor, decreased peak expiratory flow (PEF), or decreased blood oxygen levels
  - 2.3 Reduced blood pressure or multi-organ system failure, such as hypotonia (collapse), syncope, or incontinence
  - 2.4 Gastrointestinal tract involvement, such as abdominal pain, nausea, or vomiting
- 3. Reduced blood pressure that occurs after contact with a **known** allergen (within minutes or several hours)
  - 3.1 For infants and children, systolic blood pressure lower than normal value according to age or decrease in systolic blood pressure of more than 30 percent of baseline
  - 3.2 For adults, systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg or decrease in systolic blood pressure more than 30 percent of baseline

#### Notes:

Low systolic blood pressure in children is defined, as follows:

- <60 mmHg (0 day to 28 days of age)
- <70 mmHg (1 month to 1 year of age)
- <70 mmHg + (2 x age) (1 year to 10 years of age)
- <90 mmHg (11 to 17 years of age)



Туре	Subtype	Definition
Spontaneous urticaria	Acute spontaneous urticaria	Spontaneously occurring wheals and/or angioedema for <6 weeks
	Chronic spontaneous urticaria	Spontaneously occurring wheals and/or angioedema for 6 weeks or longer
Туре	Subtype	Precipitating factors
Inducible urticaria or physical	Cold urticaria	Cold objects, air, fluids, wind
urticaria	Delayed pressure urticaria	Vertical pressure (stimulates wheal reaction within 3-12 hours)
	Heat urticaria	Localized heat
	Solar urticaria	Radiation from ultraviolet and/or visible light
	Symptomatic dermographism	Mechanical shearing forces (stimulates wheal reaction within 1-5 minutes)
	Vibratory angioedema	Vibratory forces such as pneumatic hammer (stimulates wheal reaction within 1-2 hours)
	Aquagenic urticaria	Water
	Cholinergic urticaria	Increasing core body temperature
	Contact urticaria	Contact with substance that predisposes patient to wheal reaction

## Table 2. Classification of urticaria<sup>2,3</sup>

# Guidelines for management of patients with urticaria (Figure 2,3)<sup>2,10-15</sup>

1. Treating the underlying causes: If the cause can be identified, eliminate the cause. For example, in drug-induced urticaria, discontinuation of the causative drug will resolve the hives. Avoid aggravating factors, such as consumption of alcoholic beverages.<sup>16</sup>

## 2. Non-pharmacotherapy to minimize skin

## hyper-responsiveness

## 2.1 Prevention of and care for dry skin

It is recommended to regularly apply cream or lotion without perfume to keep the skin moist and reduce skin sensitivity.

## 2.2 Avoidance of skin stimulation

Precipitating factors, such as scratching, wearing tight clothes, carrying heavy objects, friction massage, steam and hot vapor, body scrub, using perfume, heavy sun exposure, and exposure to too hot or too cold temperatures should be avoided.

## 3. Medical treatment

## 3.1 Antihistamines

 $H_1$ -antihistamines are commonly used to control the symptoms of urticaria. There are 2 generations of antihistamines, including:

# A. Second-generation (non-sedating) antihistamines (Table 4) $^{\scriptscriptstyle 2,3,17}$

Non-sedating antihistamines are long-acting drugs. Adverse effects, such as sedation and dry mouth, are less likely. This type of antihistamines should be considered as first-line treatment, especially in patients who are machine controllers, drivers, students, and the elderly. Response rates of non-sedating H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines were 40-50%, however, increasing dose (up to 4-fold) of evidence-based drugs, such as levocetirizine,<sup>11,12</sup> desloratadine,<sup>11</sup> rupatadine,<sup>13</sup> and bilastine,<sup>14</sup> could increase the efficacy of treatment without increasing adverse effects. For fexofenadine updosing to 4-fold, there was no data of randomized controlled trial. However, in vitro study, increasing concentration of fexofenadine could limit chronic eosinophil inflammation by stimulating apoptosis pathway.<sup>15</sup> A randomized, doubleblinded, placebo-controlled trial reported treatment with 240 mg/day fexofenadine significantly decreased visual analog scale score of pruritus and severity index when compared to treatment with 120 mg/day fexofenadine.<sup>18</sup> Finn *et al.* reported minimal adverse effects from using 480 mg/day of fexofenadine.<sup>19</sup> Accordingly, increasing dose of fexofenadine is also included in the EAACI guideline 2013.<sup>2</sup>

# B. First-generation (sedating) antihistamines (Table 5)<sup>2,3,17</sup>

The common side effects of this generation of antihistamines are drowsiness, sedation, and dry mouth. As such, these antihistamines should be avoided in elderly patients and in patients with contraindications like benign prostate hypertrophy, glaucoma, or asthma. With regard to side effects, EAACI/GA2LEN/DEF/WAO Guideline 2013 recommends the use of first-generation (sedating) -antihistamines only when second-generation non-sedating antihistamines are not available. In addition, previous study reported that sedating antihistamines at bedtime combined with non-sedating antihistamines did not improve treatment efficacy in CSU. In fact, that combination taken at bedtime appeared to enhance adverse reactions due to the sedating effect during the daytime.<sup>20</sup>

# 3.2 Alternative treatment with other drugsa. Corticosteroids<sup>21,22</sup>

Oral corticosteroids, such as prednisolone, should be considered in cases of severe AU, severe serum sickness, urticarial vasculitis, and delayed pressure urticaria that are not responsive to other treatments. It should be noted that oral corticosteroids are not likely to be effective in treating other types of physical urticaria. In CU patients, prednisolone should not be prescribed regularly or continued for a long period of time. It should be used only in recalcitrant disease or in disease exacerbation for a short period of time.

## b. Combination of H<sub>1</sub>- and H<sub>2</sub>-antihistamines

Treatment using  $H_2$ -antihistamines in combination with  $H_1$ -antihistamines has low quality of evidence and its efficacy is still unclear. However, some experts recommend this combination therapy due to its low cost and high safety profile.<sup>23</sup> This combination treatment likely improves symptoms better than using  $H_1$ -antihistamines alone.



Types and subtypes	Investigations
Spontaneous urticaria	
Acute spontaneous urticaria	No routine diagnostic test (unless patient history is strongly suggestive)
Chronic spontaneous urticaria	Differential blood count*, ESR*
	Discontinue suspicious drugs, such as NSAIDs
	Additional diagnostic tests, including autologous serum skin test**, test for <i>Helicobacter</i> *, gastroscopy*, ANA*, D-dimer**. <sup>†</sup> , stool examination for parasites*, skin test including physical test*, specific IgE*, thyroid hormone, and autoantibodies*
	(In patients younger than 15 years, thyroid antibody test is not necessary in some cases)
Inducible or physical urticaria	
1. Cold urticaria	Cold provocation test (ice cube, cold water)**, differential blood count*, ESR*, cryoglobulins*
2. Delayed pressure urticaria	Pressure test**
	- A rod with a 0.2-1.5 kg/cm <sup>2</sup> weight placed on the patient's thigh or back for 10 minutes and 20 minutes; or
	- A shoulder strap with 6.8 kg (15 pound) sandbags on each side placed upon patient's shoulder in sitting position for 15 minutes
3. Heat urticaria	Warm arm bath**
4. Solar urticaria	Expose patient to ultraviolet and multi-wavelength visible light**
5. Symptomatic dermographism	Test for dermographism**, differential blood count*, and ESR*
6. Vibratory angioedema	Apply vortex vibration to forearm or fingers for 1-5 minutes**
7. Aquagenic urticaria	Apply wet room temperature clothing to forearm for 15-20 minutes
8. Cholinergic urticaria	Provocation by exercise or hot bath for 15-20 minutes**
9. Contact urticaria	Immunologic and non-immunologic reactions can be the cause. Diagnostic tests include patch test and skin prick testing. Dermatologic or/and specialist consultations are recommended.

## Table 3. Additional investigations for diagnosis of urticaria, especially in cases that are not responsive to treatment<sup>2,5</sup>

\*Investigations to identify causes; \*\*Investigations for diagnosis

†Some CSU patients can have increased levels of plasma D-dimer resulting from activation of coagulation pathway Abbreviations: ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; ANA, antinuclear antibodies; CSU, chronic spontaneous urticaria

Accordingly, combined  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ -antihistamine therapy may be considered in some recalcitrant CSU patients who do not respond well to  $H_1$ -antihistamines alone.<sup>24,25</sup> Discontinuation of  $H_2$ -antihistamines should be considered if clinical symptoms are not improved within 2-4 weeks after initiation.<sup>26</sup>

## c. Leukotriene receptor antagonists

Montelukast was reported to be useful in treatment of CU patients who were not responsive to initial antihistamine treatment and in urticaria patients with aspirin-sensitive condition.<sup>27</sup> Although the quality of evidence supporting the efficacy of montelukast combined with antihistamines is low, some patients responded well to this combination. If there is no response after adding montelukast for 2-4 weeks, the medication should be discontinued.

## d. Ciclosporin

The optimal dose is 2.5-5 mg/kg/day.<sup>28,29</sup> Ciclosporin should not be used for longer than 3-6 months due to its adverse effects. There is not enough evidence to support the use of ciclosporin in children under 18 years of age.

## e. Omalizumab

The reported findings from many studies support the effectiveness of omalizumab in CSU patients.<sup>30,31</sup> Omalizumab has been approved by the Thai Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of recalcitrant CSU patients who are older than 12 years of age. However, the cost of omalizumab is high, relative to the cost of conventional therapy. Specialist referral is recommended if the use of omalizumab is indicated (Appendix III. Omalizumab treatment guidelines).

## 4. Other treatment modalities

## 4.1 Calamine lotion application

Calamine lotion, cooling powder, and a refreshing towel can be applied to the hives-affected area for symptomatic relief of itching.

# 4.2 Patient education regarding etiology, process of disease, prognosis, and psychosocial support

Disease symptoms can cause psychological stress to patients, which may exacerbate disease activity.<sup>32</sup> As part of a holistic approach to patient management, psychosocial support should be considered. Knowledge regarding disease severity, natural course of the disease, and stress reduction should be provided to patients to help them participate in the effective management of the disease.

## Specialist referral:

Referral to a specialist is recommended if disease symptoms cannot be controlled with the treatment protocols recommended in this guideline.

## Disease prognosis

## 1. Acute spontaneous urticaria

A majority of cases may develop spontaneous regression within 3 weeks.<sup>33</sup> One university hospital-based study in Thai people reported that 21% of acute spontaneous urticaria cases progressed to CU.<sup>34</sup>



## 2. Chronic spontaneous urticaria

Adults: Approximately 50% of adult CU patients without angioedema had spontaneous remission within 1 year, with 20% having had intermittent exacerbations over a 2-year period. Seventy-five percent of patients with angioedema alone or CU with concomitant angioedema had persistent disease longer than 1 year while 20% had persistent disease over 20 years.<sup>35</sup> In Thai CSU patients, 34% and 84% had disease remission within 1 year and 5 years, respectively, with an average remission duration of 390 days.<sup>36</sup> Aging patients tended to have shorter duration than non-aging patients.<sup>37</sup>

**Children:** Approximately 50% of pediatric CU patients had disease that persisted for longer than 1 year (median duration 16 months)<sup>38</sup> and 19% of patients had disease remission within 1 year.<sup>39</sup>

**Appendix I.** Grades of evidence and strength of recommendation for this clinical practice guideline<sup>17</sup>

#### • Grades of evidence

- 1a Meta-analysis of RCTs
- 1b Single RCT
- 2a Systematic review of cohort studies
- 2b Single cohort studies and RCTs of limited quality
- 3a Systematic review of case-control studies
- 3b Single case-control study
- 4 Case series, case-cohort series or cohort studies of limited quality, expert committee opinion

Abbreviation: RCT, randomized controlled trial

#### Table 4. Second-generation (non-sedating) antihistamines<sup>3,17</sup>

Drug	Ped	iatric dosage	Approved age Adult dosage		Dose adjustment	Pregnancy	Grades of	Strength of
	Age	Dose	-			category	evidence	recommendation
Cetirizine	2-6 years	2.5 mg twice daily or 5 mg once daily	>2 years	10 mg once daily	Hepatic or renal function impairment	В	la	A
	>6 years	10 mg once daily			ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )			
	6-11 months	1 mg once daily						
Desloratedino	1-5 years	1.25 mg once daily	> 6 months	E ma on co doily	Severe renal function impairment	C	10	4
Desiorataume	6-11 years	2.5 mg once daily	20 months 5 mg once dany		$(CrCl<30 ml/min/1.73m^2)$	C	la	A
	≥12 years	5 mg once daily						
	6 months to <2 years	15 mg twice daily		180 mg once	Renal function			
Fexofenadine	2-11 years	30 mg twice daily	>6 months	daily or 60 mg	impairment (CrCl<80	С	la	А
	≥12 years	60 mg twice daily or 180 mg once daily		twice daily	ml/min/1./3m²)			
Levocetirizine	>6 years	5 mg once daily	>6 years	5 mg once daily	Dose adjustment is not necessary for patients with only hepatic impairment, but it should be considered in patients with both hepatic and renal impairment (CrCl<50 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	В	la	А
	2-12 years	5 mg once daily			I.I			
Loratadine	>12 years, >30 kg	10 mg once daily	>2 years	10 mg once daily	impairment	В	la	А
Rupatadine	6-11 years (≥25 kg)	5 mg once daily	>12 years (tablet) >6 years (oral solution)	10 mg once daily	Hepatic or renal function impairment (CrCl<30 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	В	la	А
Bilastine	>12 years	20 mg once daily	>12 years	20 mg once daily	Dose adjustment is not necessary for patients with both hepatic and renal impairments	В	la	А

Drug	Pediatric dosage (mg/kg/day)	Approved age	Adult dosage	Pregnancy category	Dose adjustment	Grades of evidence	Strength of recommendation
Chlorpheniramine*	0.35-2	1 year	PO 4 mg q 4-6 hrs	В	-		
Cyproheptadine	0.25	2 years	PO 4 mg q 6-8 hrs	В	Hepatic function impairment		
Diphenhydramine	5	2 years	PO 25-50 mg q 4-6 hrs	В	Hepatic function impairment	4	С
Hydroxyzine*	1-2	6 months	PO 10 mg q 6 hrs	С	Hepatic function impairment		

#### Table 5. First-generation (sedating) antihistamines<sup>3,17</sup>

\*Although both chlorpheniramine and hydroxyzine have low grades of evidence and weak strength of recommendation due to a small number of comparison studies, both drugs should be considered for treatment of acute spontaneous urticaria in children

Classification of strength of recommendation	101	endatio	of recommen	ot	of strength	n	Classification	•
--	-----	---------	-------------	----	-------------	---	----------------	---

Recommendation strength	Evidence grade
А	1a, 1b
В	2a, 2b, 3a, 3b
С	4
D	Expert opinion

## Appendix II. Laboratory testing

Laboratory testing should be routinely performed. Clinical settings that may justified for laboratory investigation, including: (i) history and physical examination are suggestive of precipitating causes; and, (ii) patient symptoms resist first-line antihistamine treatment. (**Figure 4**)<sup>40,41</sup>

- **1. Laboratory investigations**<sup>2,42,43</sup> (tests selection should be considered on clinical indication and an individual justification basis)
  - Complete blood count (CBC)
  - Erythrocyte sedimentation rate
  - Stool examination
  - Chest X-ray
  - Sinus X-ray
  - Antinuclear antibodies (ANA)
  - D-dimer
  - Helicobacter testing
  - Gastroscopy
  - Thyroid antibodies and/or thyroid function test (should be conducted in suspected thyroid disease, especially when autoimmune urticaria is suspected)

## 2. Skin prick testing (SPT)

SPT is an allergy testing method that is used for diagnosis of IgE-mediated allergy. Positive SPT indicates allergen-specific IgE in serum; however, positive allergens are not always the cause of symptoms. For food allergy, SPT has a highly-reliable negative predictive value of 95%; however, the positive predictive accuracy is less than 50%, when compared to double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge test.<sup>44</sup> Accordingly, a positive SPT result should be carefully interpreted and evaluated.

**Of note, SPT plays a limited role in CU.** SPT is commonly used in suspected cases and is used to confirm diagnosis of food or drug allergy identified by IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reaction in allergic AU patients.

#### 3. Diagnosis of food allergy

Food intolerance can develop from either IgE- or non-IgE-mediated reactions.<sup>45</sup> In IgE-mediated food allergy, either SPT or specific serum IgE testing can be used to diagnose, but its interpretation should be carefully conducted. Specific serum IgG is neither reliable nor helpful in diagnosing food allergy. Double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge test is the gold standard for diagnosis of food allergy.<sup>46</sup>

## 4. Serum autoantibodies for diagnosis of chronic

## autoimmune urticaria<sup>47-49</sup>

## 4.1. In vivo testing

- Autologous serum skin testing (ASST) ASST is a screening test used to detect autoreactivity. Sensitivity is approximately 70% and specificity is 80%. Positive ASST was found in 30-60% of CU patients; however, it does not affect patient management.
- **4.2.** *In vitro* testing (These tests are currently available in some countries and are expensive)
  - **Basophil histamine release assay** Basophil histamine release assay is used for serum functional autoantibodies detection. Obtaining fresh basophils from healthy individuals is necessary for accurate laboratory testing.
  - *Direct immunoassays* These laboratory methods, including Western blotting, immunoprecipitation, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, and flow cytometry (which uses chimeric cell lines expressing human FcεRI α), are able to detect non-functional and functional anti-FcεRI autoantibodies.

## Appendix III. Omalizumab treatment guidelines<sup>30,31</sup>

Omalizumab is an additional drug that can be used to treat treatment-resistant CSU in adults or adolescents older than 12 years of age. Omalizumab is an add-on therapy to  $H_1$ -antihistamine treatment.

Patients should fulfill all of the following criteria in order to be considered for omalizumab treatment:

- 1. Patients are under specialist care, including dermatologists and/or immunologists;
- 2. Patients are older than 12 years of age;
- Cause of urticaria is not identifiable by further investigations and CBC, ANA, and urine analysis results are normal;



- 4. Patients are diagnosed by specialists as moderate to severe CSU that is not responsive to conventional treatment; and,
- 5. Disease duration is longer than 3 months and the symptoms remain persistent despite the use of guideline-based treatment (**Figure 5**).

## Omalizumab dosage and evaluation

The initial recommended dose of omalizumab is 150 mg by subcutaneous injection every 4 weeks.<sup>50</sup> Treatment response will be evaluated 4 weeks after the first injection using weekly urticaria activity score (UAS7). UAS7 score will be recorded over a 7-day period by patients before each visit. If UAS7 score decreases by more than 30% from the baseline score, the same dosage (150 mg every 4 weeks) should be continued. If no



significant improvement is observed after 4 weeks of treatment (UAS7 decrease less than 30% from baseline score), an increase in dose to 300 mg every 4 weeks is recommended. If no significant improvement is once again observed after the second 4-week period, then omalizumab discontinuation should be considered.

If the patient responds well to omalizumab treatment and is able to reduce other conventional drugs, decreasing the dose of omalizumab or extending the dosage interval should be considered. Omalizumab discontinuation should be considered every 3-6 months. Re-administration of omalizumab after discontinuation is based on changes in clinical factors and physician's discretion.



Abbreviations: ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; HAE, hereditary angioedema; AAE, acquired angioedema

Figure courtesy of Marcus Maurer, Markus Magerl, Martin Metz, and Torsten Zuberbier, used with permission from John Wiley and Sons



## Figure 2. Management algorithm for children and adult patients with acute spontaneous urticaria

Notes:

- Patients with generalized rash may be considered for oral corticosteroids (e.g., prednisolone 20-30 mg/day) for no longer than 10 days.
- Drugs or substances that can aggravate urticaria, such as aspirin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, codeine, morphine or ACEI, should be avoided. \*Edema and erythema of the face and neck, chest discomfort, generalized rash
- \*\*Evidence grade 1a, recommendation strength A †Evidence grade 4, recommendation strength D †Evidence grade 2b, recommendation strength B

There grade 20, recommendation strength D

#### Figure 3. Algorithm for treatment of chronic spontaneous urticaria



- Take history and symptoms of concurrent angioedema
   Take history relating to drugs, food, skin care, physical stimuli, and infections
- Assess disease severity by physical examination and identify the cause of disease
- Assess disease sevently by physical examination and identify the cause of disease
   Investigate according to an indication by history and physical examination
- 5. Avoid aggravating factors such as NSAIDs or physical factors for physical urticaria patients
- 6. Treat as acute severe-form spontaneous urticaria in cases of severe exacerbation



#### Notes:

Response rates of H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines were 40-50%, while low-dose corticosteroids, ciclosporin, and omalizumab had response rates of 70-80% in each treatment. \*Evidence grade 1a, recommendation strength A

\*\*Evidence grade 2b, recommendation strength B. Increasing dose (up to 4-fold) of evidence-based drugs, such as desloratadine, levocetirizine, rupatadine, and bilastine, could increase the efficacy of treatment without increasing adverse effects. Alternatively, increasing the dose of other antihistamines may be ineffective.<sup>210-14</sup> For fexofenadine up dosing to 4-fold, no data of randomized controlled trial supported, however, in vitro study, increasing concentration of fexofenadine could limit chronic eosinophil inflammation.15 If there are any reasons that cannot increase all above antihistamine dosage up to 4-fold, changing to or adding other different types of H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines are the alternative.

†Evidence grade 2b, recommendation strength B

††Evidence grade 2b, recommendation strength B. Low evidence of efficacy, but could be effective in some cases. If clinical symptoms do not improve after taking this medication for 2-4 weeks, discontinuation of this medication should be considered.

‡Evidence grade 1b, recommendation strength A

‡‡Evidence grade 1a, recommendation strength A. Should refer patient to specialized dermatologists or allergists; see Appendix III for omalizumab treatment guideline







\*Should take history of physical stimuli, such as friction massage, steam, and hot vapor

Abbreviations: NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SPT, skin prick testing; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; ANA, antinuclear antibodies; ASST, autologous serum skin testing





\*Evidence grade 1a, recommendation strength A

\*\*Evidence grade 2b, recommendation strength B. If there are any reasons that cannot increase all above antihistamine dosage up to 4-fold, changing to or adding other different types of H1-antihistamines are the alternative.

†Evidence grade 2b, recommendation strength B

‡Evidence grade 1a, recommendation strength A

††Evidence grade 1b, recommendation strength A

Abbreviation: UAS7, weekly urticaria activity score



## Acknowledgement

Kiat Ruxrungtham, MD, is partly supported by the Senior Research Scholar, the Thailand Research Fund.

#### Conflict of interest disclosure:

Kiat Ruxrungtham, MD, has been participated in a company sponsored speaker's bureau from GSK, Merck, and Novartis. Kanokvalai Kulthanan, MD, has been a speaker for MSD, GSK, Menarini and Novartis.

## References

- Vichyanond P, Jirapongsananuruk O, Veskitkul J, Chansakulporn S, Direkwatanachai C, Piromrat K, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for anaphylaxis 2016. the Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology Association of Thailand. Forthcoming 2016.
- Zuberbier T, Aberer W, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Brzoza Z, Canonica GW, et al. The EAACI/GA(2)LEN/EDF/WAO Guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis, and management of urticaria: the 2013 revision and update. Allergy. 2014;69:868-87.
- Sánchez -Borges M, Asero R, Ansotegui IJ, Baiardini I, Bernstein JA, Canonica GW, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of urticaria and angioedema: a worldwide perspective. World Allergy Organ J. 2012;5:125-47.
- Maurer M, Magerl M, Metz M, Zuberbier T. Revisions to the international guidelines on the diagnosis and therapy of chronic urticaria. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges. 2013;11:971-7.
- Zuberbier T, Asero R, Bindslev-Jensen C, Walter Canonica G, Church MK, Gimenez-Arnau A, et al. EAACI/GA(2)LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: definition, classification and diagnosis of urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64: 1417-26.
- Ruxrungtham K. Chronic Inducible Urticaria (CIndU) versus Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria (CSU): Can new names and new updated information improve our care for patients with urticaria? Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2016;34:95-7.
- Sabroe RA, Fiebiger E, Francis DM, Maurer D, Seed PT, Grattan CE, et al. Classification of anti-FceRI and anti-IgE autoantibodies in chronic idiopathic urticaria and correlation with disease severity. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002;110:492-9.
- Hide M, Francis DM, Grattan CE, Hakimi J, Kochan JP, Greaves MW. Autoantibodies against the high-affinity IgE receptor as a cause of histamine release in chronic urticaria. N Engl J Med. 1993;328:1599-604.
- Tedeschi A, Kolkhir P, Asero R, Pogorelov D, Olisova O, Kochergin N, et al. Chronic urticaria and coagulation: pathophysiological and clinical aspects. Allergy. 2014;69:683-91.
- Ferrer M, Sastre J, Jáuregui I, Davila I, Montoro J, Cuvillo A, et al. Effect of antihistamine up-dosing in chronic urticaria. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2011;21:34-9.
- 11. Siebenhaar F, Degener F, Zuberbier T, Martus P, Maurer M. High-dose desloratadine decreases wheal volume and improves cold provocation thresholds compared with standard-dose treatment in patients with acquired cold urticaria: a randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2009;123:672-9.
- Staevska M, Popov TA, Kralimarkova T, Lazarova C, Kraeva S, Popova D, et al. The effectiveness of levocetirizine and desloratadine in up to 4 times conventional doses in difficult-to-treat urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;125:676-82.
- Dubertret L, Zalupca L, Cristodoulo T, Benea V, Medina I, Fantin S, et al. Once-daily rupatadine improves the symptoms of chronic idiopathic urticaria: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Eur J Dermatol. 2007;17:223-8.
- 14. Krause K, Spohr A, Zuberbier T, Church MK, Maurer M. Up-dosing with bilastine results in improved effectiveness in cold contact urticaria. Allergy. 2013;68:921-8.
- Vancheri C, Mastruzzo C, Tomaselli V, Bellistri G, Pistorio MP, Greco LR, et al. The effect of fexofenadine on expression of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and induction of apoptosis on peripheral eosinophils. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2005;26:292-8.
- Sticherling M, Brasch J, Bruning H, Christophers E. Urticarial and anaphylactoid reactions following ethanol intake. Br J Dermatol. 1995;132:464-7.

- Ring J, Alomar A, Bieber T, Deleuran M, Fink-Wagner A, Gelmetti C, et al. Guidelines for treatment of atopic eczema (atopic dermatitis) part I. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2012;26:1045-60.
- Tanizaki H, Nakahigashi K, Miyachi Y, Kabashima K. Comparison of the efficacy of fexofenadine 120 and 240 mg/day on chronic idiopathic urticaria and histamine-induced skin responses in Japanese populations. J Dermatolog Treat. 2013;24:477-80.
- Finn AF Jr, Kaplan AP, Fretwell R, Qu R, Long J. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of fexofenadine HCl in the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1999;104:1071-8.
- Staevska M, Gugutkova M, Lazarova C, Kralimarkova T, Dimitrov V, Zuberbier T, et al. Night-time sedating H1-antihistamine increases daytime somnolence but not treatment efficacy in chronic spontaneous urticaria: a randomized controlled trial. Br J Dermatol. 2014;171:148-54.
- Zuberbier T, Iffländer J, Semmler C, Henz BM. Acute urticaria: clinical aspects and therapeutic responsiveness. Acta Derm Venereol. 1996;76: 295-7.
- Asero R, Tedeschi A. Usefulness of a short course of oral prednisone in antihistamine-resistant chronic urticaria: a retrospective analysis. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2010;20:386-90.
- Monroe EW, Cohen SH, Kalbfleisch J, Schulz CI. Combined H1 and H2 antihistamine therapy in chronic urticaria. Arch Dermatol. 1981;117: 404-7.
- Bleehen SS, Thomas SE, Greaves MW, Newton J, Kennedy CT, Hindley F, et al. Cimetidine and chlorpheniramine in the treatment of chronic idiopathic urticaria: a multi-centre randomized double-blind study. Br J Dermatol. 1987;117:81-8.
- 25. Ogawa Y, Ichinokawa Y, Hiruma M, Machida Y, Funakushi N, Sadamasa H, et al. Retrospective cohort study on combination therapy with the histamine H2-receptor antagonist lafutidine for antihistamine-resistant chronic urticaria. J Dermatolog Treat. 2013;24:463-5.
- Simons FE, Sussman GL, Simons KJ. Effect of the H2-antagonist cimetidine on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the H1-antagonists hydroxyzine and cetirizine in patients with chronic urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1995;95:685-93.
- Pacor ML, Di Lorenzo G, Corrocher R. Efficacy of leukotriene receptor antagonist in chronic urticaria. A double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of treatment with montelukast and cetirizine in patients with chronic urticaria with intolerance to food additive and/or acetylsalicylic acid. Clin Exp Allergy. 2001;31:1607-14.
- Grattan CE, O'Donnell BF, Francis DM, Niimi N, Barlow RJ, Seed PT, et al. Randomized double-blind study of cyclosporin in chronic 'idiopathic' urticaria. Br J Dermatol. 2000;143:365-72.
- Trojan TD, Khan DA. Calcineurin inhibitors in chronic urticaria. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;12:412-20.
- Metz M, Maurer M. Omalizumab in chronic urticaria. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;12:406-11.
- Maurer M, Rosén K, Hsieh H-J, Saini S, Grattan C, Gimenéz-Arnau A, et al. Omalizumab for the treatment of chronic idiopathic or spontaneous urticaria. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:924-35.
- 32. Ben-Shoshan M, Blinderman I, Raz A. Psychosocial factors and chronic spontaneous urticaria: a systematic review. Allergy. 2013;68:131-41.
- 33. Aoki T, Kojima M, Horiko T. Acute urticaria: history and natural course of 50 cases. The J Dermatol. 1994;21:73-7.
- Kulthanan K, Chiawsirikajorn Y, Jiamton S. Acute urticaria: etiologies, clinical course and quality of life. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2008;26: 1-9.
- Champion RH, Roberts SO, Carpenter RG, Roger JH. Urticaria and angio-oedema. A review of 554 patients. Br J Dermatol. 1969;81:588-97.
- Kulthanan K, Jiamton S, Thumpimukvatana N, Pinkaew S. Chronic idiopathic urticaria: prevalence and clinical course. J Dermatol. 2007;34:294-301.
- Chuamanochan M, Kulthanan K, Tuchinda P, Chularojanamontri L, Nuchkull P. Clinical features of chronic urticaria in aging population. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2016.
- Harris A, Twarog FJ, Geha RS. Chronic urticaria in childhood: natural course and etiology. Ann Allergy. 1983;51:161-5.
- Chansakulporn S, Pongpreuksa S, Sangacharoenkit P, Pacharn P, Visitsunthorn N, Vichyanond P, et al. The natural history of chronic urticaria in childhood: a prospective study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014;71:663-8.



- Wai YC, Sussman GL. Evaluating chronic urticaria patients for allergies, infections, or autoimmune disorders. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2002;23:185-93.
- Powell RJ, Leech SC, Till S, Huber PA, Nasser SM, Clark AT. BSACI guideline for the management of chronic urticaria and angioedema. Clin Exp Allergy. 2015;45:547-65.
- Bindslev-Jensen C, Finzi A, Greaves M, Camarasa J, Ortonne JP, Schöpf E, et al. Chronic urticaria: diagnostic recommendations. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2000;14:175-80.
- Jirapongsananuruk O, Sangacharoenkit P, Pongpreuksa S, Visitsunthorn N, Vichyanond P. Is silent sinusitis a cause of chronic urticaria in children?. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2009;27:103-6.
- Sampson HA, Albergo R. Comparison of results of skin tests, RAST, and double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenges in children with atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1984;74:26-33.
- 45. Helm RM, Burks AW. Mechanisms of food allergy. Curr Opin Immunol. 2000;12:647-53.

- Bock SA, Sampson HA, Atkins FM, Zeiger RS, Lehrer S, Sachs M, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge (DBPCFC) as an office procedure: a manual. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1988;82:986-97.
- Konstantinou GN, Asero R, Maurer M, Sabroe RA, Schmid-Grendelmeier P, Grattan CE. EAACI/GA(2)LEN task force consensus report: the autologous serum skin test in urticaria. Allergy. 2009;64:1256-68.
- Kulthanan K, Jiamton S, Gorvanich T, Pinkaew S. Autologous serum skin test in chronic idiopathic urticaria: prevalence, correlation and clinical implications. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2006;24:201-6.
- Konstantinou GN, Asero R, Ferrer M, Knol EF, Maurer M, Raap U, et al. EAACI taskforce position paper: evidence for autoimmune urticaria and proposal for defining diagnostic criteria. Allergy. 2013;68:27-36.
- 50. Metz M, Ohanyan T, Church MK, Maurer M. Omalizumab is an effective and rapidly acting therapy in difficult-to-treat chronic urticaria: a retrospective clinical analysis. J Dermatol Sci. 2014;73:57-62.